

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.ispto.gov.

and the second		unantan Finan - 1	أعديد الأربطيد		قعددها بكالموادد	in a specimental and the second	er eers sig
APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAM	MED INVENTOR	ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,739 03/09		03/09/2004	4 William L. Bowden		0	08935-251002	2499
26161	7590	12/06/2004				EXAMINER	
FISH & R	CHARDS	SON PC				WEINER,	LAURA S
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110						ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston,	B001011, 1111 02110					1745	
					DATE	MAILED: 12/06/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/796,739	BOWDEN ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Laura S Weiner	1745						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> .								
2 a/								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-25</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaction requirement							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r ejection requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)	_							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-9-04.	—	Patent Application (PTO-152)						
I.C. Detent and Traded. Off								

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 11-23, drawn to a method of preparing lambda-manganese dioxide, classified in class 423, subclass 605.
 - Claims 24-25, drawn to a method of manufacturing an electrochemical cell, classified in class 29, subclass 623.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a battery comprising a zinc anode and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,739

Art Unit: 1745

Page 3

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Tu Nguyen on November 30, 2004, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 24-25. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 11-23 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thackeray et al. (5,316,877).

Art Unit: 1745

Thackeray et al. teaches in column 5, line 65 to column 6, line 27, that LiMn2O4 can be used as an electrode material for 4 V cells when used over the compositional range Li1-yMn2O4. When y is 0, this 4 V cell is effectively in a discharged state. The cell is charged by removing lithium from the LiMn2O4 electrode. During charging, lithium is deposited at the anode. At y=1, the phase lambda-Mno2 would result at the fully oxidized cathode.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Furukawa et al. (JP 63-187569, abstract).

Furukawa et al. teaches to improve the charge-discharge cycle performance of a nonaqueous secondary battery by using a positive electrode using manganese dioxide having the crystal structure of spinel type, lambda type or intermediate type. Furukawa et al. teaches a nonaqueous secondary battery using lithium or lithium alloy as a negative active material and manganese dioxide having the crystal structure of spinel type, lambda type or intermediate type for the positive active material.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,739

Art Unit: 1745

Since Furukawa et al. teaches the same lithium secondary battery comprising a positive electrode which contains a lambda-MnO2 and a lithium negative electrode then inherently the same lithium secondary battery which contains a lambda-MnO2 cathode and a lithium anode having a closed circuit voltage of about 4V and a specific discharge capacity at a nominal discharge rate of 1 mA/cm2 to a 3V cutoff of greater than 120 mAh/g must also be obtained.

In addition, the presently claimed property of a battery having a closed circuit voltage of about 4V and a specific discharge capacity at a nominal discharge rate of 1 mA/cm2 to a 3V cutoff of greater than 120 mAh/g would have obviously have been present once the Furukawa et al. product is provided. *In re Best, 195 USPQ 433* (CCPA 1977).

10. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thackeray et al. (5,316,877) or Furukawa et al. (JP 63-187569, abstract) in view of Hunter (4,246,253).

Thackeray et al. Furukawa et al. discloses the claimed invention as explained above except for specifically teaching that the lambda-manganese dioxide was prepared by contacting with water, adding an acid, separating the solid and drying at a temperature of 120 degrees C or below.

Hunter teaches in column 1, lines 1-12, and lines 45-65, that a new form of manganese dioxide having an x-ray diffraction pattern not heretofore exhibited by any previously known forms of manganese dioxide has been found. The product of an acid

Application/Control Number: 10/796,739

Art Unit: 1745

treatment of LiMn2O4 is substantially pure MnO2 (lambda MnO2) whose x-ray pattern is nearly identical to that of the starting material LiMn2O4. Hunter teaches in column 2, lines 48-68, that there is a new crystalline form of manganese dioxide which encompasses acid-treating LiMn2O4 which is suspended in water. The treatment typically involves suspending LiMn2O4 in water and then adding acid while continuing to stir and while monitoring the pH of the solution phase. In order to get about 90% of the LiMn2O4 to the lambda-MnO2, acid treatment should continue until the pH of the solution phase stabilizes at below about 2.5, preferably below about pH of 2. Hunter teaches in columns 3-4, Example 1, that LiMnO2O4 was placed in a beaker with water, then added the acid H2SO4 until the solution phase pH stabilized at 2. After allowing the solid material to settle, the remaining solid was washed. The solid was dried in an oven at about 85 degrees C.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method taught by Hunter to produce the lambda-MnO2 because Hunter teaches that the product of an acid treatment of LiMn2O4 is substantially pure MnO2 (lambda MnO2) whose x-ray pattern is nearly identical to that of the starting material LiMn2O4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

∕Laura S Weiner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

December 1, 2004